



PTO/SB/21 (09-04)

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

TRANSMITTAL FORM (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)	Application Number	09/991,048	
	Filing Date	November 21, 2001	
	First Named Inventor	Dennis Tribble	
	Art Unit	2162	
	Examiner Name	B. N. To	
Total Number of Pages in This Submission	5	Attorney Docket Number	03946/000K020-US0

ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)

<input type="checkbox"/> Fee Transmittal Form <input type="checkbox"/> Fee Attached <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Amendment/Reply <input type="checkbox"/> After Final <input type="checkbox"/> Affidavits/declaration(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Extension of Time Request <input type="checkbox"/> Express Abandonment Request <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Reply to Missing Parts/Incomplete Application <input type="checkbox"/> Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53	<input type="checkbox"/> Drawing(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Licensing-related Papers <input type="checkbox"/> Petition <input type="checkbox"/> Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application <input type="checkbox"/> Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address <input type="checkbox"/> Terminal Disclaimer <input type="checkbox"/> Request for Refund <input type="checkbox"/> CD, Number of CD(s) _____ <input type="checkbox"/> Landscape Table on CD	<input type="checkbox"/> After Allowance Communication to TC <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) <input type="checkbox"/> Proprietary Information <input type="checkbox"/> Status Letter <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below): Return Receipt Postcard
Remarks		

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT

Firm Name	DARBY & DARBY P.C.		
Signature			
Printed name	David Leason		
Date	July 31, 2006	Reg. No.	36,195

Attorney Docket No.: 03946/000K020-US0

Certificate of Express Mailing Under 37 CFR 1.10

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as Express Mail, Airbill No. in an envelope addressed to: **EL834736012 11C**

EV834736913-Us

MS Issue Fee
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

on July 31, 2006
Date

Signature

Signature

Signature
Lillian Garcia

Typed or printed name of person signing Certificate

Registration Number, if applicable

Telephone Number

Note: Each paper must have its own certificate of mailing, or this certificate must identify each submitted paper.

Transmittal Form (1 page)
Letter To Examiner In Reply To Response To Rule 312
Communication (3 pages)
Return Receipt Postcard



AUG 02 2006

GFN

Docket No.: 03946/000K020-US0
(PATENT)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:
Dennis Tribble

Application No.: 09/991,048

Confirmation No.: 3800

Filed: November 21, 2001

Art Unit: 2162

For: SERIAL DATA CAPTURE AND
PROCESSING

Examiner: To, Baoquoc N.

LETTER TO EXAMINER IN REPLY TO
RESPONSE TO RULE 312 COMMUNICATION

MS Issue Fee
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Upon review of the Examiner's Response to Rule 312 Communication, Applicants are unsure whether Applicants' amendment to claim 4 has been entered by the Examiner. The mark-up of claim 4 submitted with Applicants' Amendment under 37 C.F.R. § 1.312 follows for convenience:

The method of claim 3, wherein the source is the particular LSM and wherein ~~including~~ the step of populating the data structure with data parsed from the printer output stream is in accordance with the set of configuration rules for the particular LSM.

Applicants submit that the proposed amendment has no impact on the scope of the claim, places the claim in correct grammatical form, and is a correctable error due to the Examiner's Amendment, not any action by the Applicant.

Additionally, in view of the Examiner's response to Applicants' Amendment under 37 C.F.R. § 1.312, claim 20 recites in relevant part:

wherein the PSM is configured to determine suitability for handling of drug orders by **an automated medication preparation system** with reference to an order database, and wherein the data structure enables data handling and preparation of one or more medications by **the an automated medication preparation system**.

(emphasis added). Applicants agree with the Examiner that "*the* an automated medication preparation system" recited by the second wherein-clause refers to the same "an automated medication preparation system" recited by the preceding wherein-clause. Due to an error on Applicants' part, the wrong word was struck from the proposed claim 20. Applicants had intended to delete the word "an" after "the," but Applicants inadvertently struck through "*the*." Applicants' remarks submitted with the Amendment under 37 C.F.R. § 1.312 clearly identify the mistake and state Applicant's true intent. The relevant portion of Applicants remarks is reproduced below:

The word "an" has been deleted as superfluous because the preceding "wherein" clause added by the Examiner's amendment provides an antecedent basis for "an automated medication preparation system."

Applicants believe that deletion of the word “an” in the second wherein-clause results in better grammar and does not change the scope of claim 20. However, Applicants agree with the Examiner’s assessment that both wherein-clauses refer to the same “medication preparation system.”

Dated: July 31, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

By 

David Leason

Registration No.: 36,195

DARBY & DARBY P.C.

P.O. Box 5257

New York, New York 10150-5257

(212) 527-7700

(212) 527-7701 (Fax)

Attorneys/Agents For Applicant